

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,852	RAGHUNATH ET AL.	
	Examiner ELLEN TRAN	Art Unit 2134	
All Participants: (1) <u>ELLEN TRAN</u> . (2) <u>George Sai-Halasz, PhD</u> .	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
Date of Interview: <u>6 March 2008</u>	Time: <u>12:15</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: <i>The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney agreed the amendment to claims.</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: No			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/ELLEN TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2134</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			